

No Meaningful Opioid Abuse Liability of REL-1017 (esmethadone; d-methadone), a Rapid-Acting Antidepressant in Clinical Development: A Human Abuse Potential Study

Jack Henningsfield¹; Oleg Aszkenasy²; Charles Borodetzky³; Marco Pappagallo⁴; Megan Siriam⁵; Sasa De Martin⁶; Reginald Park⁷; Frank Vozz⁸; Frank Capuano⁹; Thomas Kosten¹⁰; Jeff Huston¹¹; August Buchbinder¹²; Judy Attilworth¹³; Ryan Lawler¹⁴; Prisco Pelli¹⁵; Sergio Traversa¹⁶; Charles E. Inturrisi¹⁷; Paolo L. Manfredi¹⁸

¹Relmada Therapeutics, Bethesda, MD, USA; ²Oasis Clinical Trials, Columbus, OH, USA; ³Relmada Therapeutics, Inc., Cedar Rapids, IA, USA; ⁴A Consultant in Pharmaceutical Medicine, ⁵Department of Anesthesiology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA; ⁶Alfonso Research Partners, Somers, NY, USA; ⁷Department of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁸Primo Research Institute, Inc., New York, NY, USA; ⁹Department of Psychiatry, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA; ¹⁰Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA; ¹¹University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX, USA; ¹²University of Houston, Michael E DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston, TX, USA; ¹³University of Miami School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

INTRODUCTION

- REL-1017 (esmethadone; d-methadone) is a safe and well-tolerated¹ novel uncompetitive NMDAR channel blocker with a preference for pathologically hyperactive glutamate-glutamate NMDAR channels².
- REL-1017 has twenty-fold lower affinity at the mu-opioid receptor than levomethadone³ and lacks clinically meaningful opioid agonist actions^{4,5}.
- REL-1017 retains potential neuroprotective and therapeutic effects without dissociative effects^{6,7,8,9,10} and does not cause potentially neurotoxic Opioid's brain lesions¹¹, unlike higher potency NMDAR blockers.
- REL-1017 is currently in Phase 3 clinical trials for the treatment of Major Depressive Disorder (MDD)¹².
- Preliminary data performed with well-established experimental models, indicated that REL-1017 did not show any appreciable evidence of abuse potential^{13,14}.
- Due to its close chemical similarity to the opioid-active isomer, l-methadone, we further evaluated REL-1017 with a human abuse potential (HAP) study.

OBJECTIVES

We aimed to assess the human abuse potential (HAP) of REL-1017 in a single-dose, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, active- and placebo-controlled, 5-way crossover study in experienced recreational drug users.

METHODS

Study Design:

- Single-dose, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, active- and placebo-controlled, 5-way crossover HAP study of REL-1017 in experienced recreational drug users.
- Each subject received the following oral treatments with >10 days of washout between treatments: REL-1017 35 mg (therapeutic daily dose), REL-1017 75 mg (leading dose), REL-1017 150 mg (six times the therapeutic daily dose and the maximum tolerated dose), Oxycodone 40 mg (standard active control), and placebo.

Endpoint Measurements:

- The primary endpoint of the study was the maximum effect (E_{max}) for Drug Liking ("at this moment"), assessed with a bipolar (0 to +49 = dislike; 50 = neutral; 51 to +100 = like) visual analog scale (VAS).
- Key secondary endpoints were "Overall Drug Liking" and for "Take Drug Again", assessed with a bipolar (0 to +49 = dislike; 50 = neutral; 51 to +100 = like) VAS.

Data Analysis:

- Data for the primary endpoint were analyzed using a one-sided paired Student's t-test if data were not skewed or Sign Test if data were skewed. For primary endpoint analysis (Table 2), comparisons were made ($p < 0.05$):
- between Oxycodone 40 mg and placebo (null hypothesis that the difference between Oxycodone 40 mg and placebo was < 15 points);
 - between Oxycodone 40 mg and each dose of REL-1017 (null hypothesis that the difference between Oxycodone 40 mg and REL-1017 was < 0 points); and
 - between each dose of REL-1017 and placebo (null hypothesis that the difference between REL-1017 and placebo was < 10 points).

The methods and hypotheses for the secondary endpoints were similar to that of the primary endpoint. For the secondary endpoints, a two-sided hypothesis was used. For the hypothesis (comparison between REL-1017 and placebo), a two-sided hypothesis with $\alpha=0.05$ was utilized (null hypothesis that the difference between REL-1017 and placebo equals 0).

Statistical analyses were performed on "lead-list completers", defined as subjects completing all 5 treatments, and excluding subjects with similar Drug Liking E_{max} scores (<5 points difference) across all study treatments or subjects with an E_{max} for placebo > 50 and <5 difference between E_{max} for Oxycodone 40 mg and placebo.

No Meaningful Opioid Abuse Liability of REL-1017 (esmethadone; d-methadone)

RESULTS

Table 1.
Baseline demographic characteristics (Modified completers, N=44)

Demographics		Overall (N=44) N (%)
Age, mean ± SD, years		36.6 (9.2)
Gender		
Male	38 (81.8%)	
Female	8 (18.2%)	
Race		
Black / African American	29 (65.9%)	
White	19 (43.2%)	
Ethnicity		
Hispanic or Latino	5 (11.4%)	
Not Hispanic or Latino	39 (88.6%)	

Table 3.
Overall Drug Liking bipolar Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Key secondary endpoint

Overall Drug Liking VAS	Placebo N=44	REL-1017 25 mg N=44	REL-1017 75 mg N=44	REL-1017 150 mg N=44	Oxycodone 40 mg N=44
Mean (SD)	51.3 (10.8)	51.9 (7.0)	58.5 (19.5)	61.5 (18.8)	75.1 (23.0)
Median	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.5	73.5
Treatment vs Oxycodone 40mg, P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.002	--
REL-1017 vs Placebo, P-value ^a	--	0.793	>0.999	0.029	--

Table 2.
Drug Liking (E_{max}) "at this moment" bipolar Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Primary endpoint

Drug Liking (E_{max}) "at this moment" (VAS) ^b	Placebo N=44	REL-1017 25 mg N=44	REL-1017 75 mg N=44	REL-1017 150 mg N=44	Oxycodone 40 mg N=44
Mean (SD)	51.7 (4.0)	55 (8.7)	58.2 (5.0)	64.9 (6.7)	85 (15.0)
Median	50	50	50	58	89
Treatment vs Oxycodone 40mg, P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	--
REL-1017 vs Placebo, P-value ^a	--	<0.001	<0.001	0.082	--

^a The primary endpoint of the study was the maximum effect (E_{max}) for Drug Liking ("at this moment"), assessed with a bipolar (0 to +49 = dislike; 50 = neutral; 51 to +100 = like) visual analog scale (VAS).

^b Interpretation of P-value: P-value <0.05 suggests that REL-1017 has similar abuse potential to placebo (i.e., within 5 points).

- The E_{max} for Oxycodone 40 mg was significantly greater than placebo, confirming study validity.
- The E_{max} for Oxycodone 40 mg was greater than all 3 doses of REL-1017 ($p < 0.001$).
- Comparison of REL-1017 to placebo, using the FDA suggested equivalence analysis, indicated similarity to placebo at $P > 0.001$ for REL-1017 25 mg and REL-1017 75 mg. REL-1017 150 mg showed ($p = 0.082$) for similarity to placebo.

Table 4.
Take Drug Again bipolar Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Key secondary endpoint

Take Drug Again VAS	Placebo N=44	REL-1017 25 mg N=44	REL-1017 75 mg N=44	REL-1017 150 mg N=44	Oxycodone 40 mg N=44
Mean (SD)	49.7 (5.7)	51 (6.3)	57.7 (15.0)	63.5 (25.4)	77.1 (25.9)
Median	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	86.0
Treatment vs Oxycodone 40mg, P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002	--
REL-1017 vs Placebo, P-value ^a	--	0.664	0.230	0.006	--

- Statistically significant differences between all tested doses of REL-1017 and Oxycodone were seen for the two key secondary endpoints (see Tables 3 and 4).
- Comparison of REL-1017 to placebo showed that REL-1017 25 mg and REL-1017 75 mg were not significantly different from placebo (P -values >0.10) and REL-1017 150 mg was significantly different from placebo (P -values <0.10) for "Overall Drug Liking" and "Take Drug Again".

CONCLUSIONS

- In this study, all REL-1017 tested doses exhibited at least a 20-point difference in mean and median Drug Liking (E_{max}) compared to Oxycodone ($p < 0.001$) among recreational drug users.
- The similarity of REL-1017 25 mg and REL-1017 75 mg in Drug Liking (E_{max}) "at this moment" compared to placebo was significant ($P < 0.001$).
- Comparable results of REL-1017 vs Oxycodone and REL-1017 vs Placebo were observed for the two key secondary endpoints ("Overall Drug Liking" and "Take Drug Again").
- Low-level liking, commonly seen in HAP studies at high doses of the test substance, is consistent with unstructured substances and with controlled substances in U.S. DEA Schedule V or IV.
- This study showed no meaningful opioid abuse potential for REL-1017. This HAP study design is considered the most predictive for determining opioid abuse potential.

REFERENCES

- Bernstein, G., et al. (2009). J Clin Psychopharmacol 33(3): 226-237.
- Bettini, E., et al. (2003). Biogenic Psychiatry, 59(9): 588-599.
- Cook, E. R., et al. (1988). J Pharmacol Exp Ther 245(2): 1263-1270.
- Lemburg, K., et al. (2006). Am J Anal Chem. 12(1): 178-184.
- Inturrisi, C., et al. (1990). J Pharmacol Exp Ther 254(3): 305-311.
- Drug Enforcement Administration, Division Control Division, December 2019.
- Prayer & Iberle (1992). Bulletin on Narcotics, 34: 28-35.
- Pava, M., et al. (2003). American Journal of Psychiatry (in press).
- Altman, P., et al. (2002). Poster Presented at NEI 2002.
- © Clinical Trials.gov Identifier: NCT04655747, NCT04648644.
- Henningsfield, J., et al. (2021). Poster Presented at ACNP 2021.
- Henningsfield, J., et al. (2021). Poster Presented at CIND 2021.
- Gawin, D., et al. (2021). Poster Presented at CPDD 2021.
- Levy-Corpperman, et al. (2016). Epilepsy Behav 61:63-71.

DISCLOSURES

This research was sponsored by Relmada Therapeutics. Drs. Aszkenasy and Manfredi are employees of Olin Clinical Trials. Drs. Henningsfield, Gendron, Istan, Blazquez, Attilworth, Lawler, Vozz, Capuano, Kosten, Huston, Traversa, and Manfredi are paid consultants for Relmada Therapeutics. Dr. De Martin is employed or has received fees from Relmada Therapeutics. Dr. Traversa is a current employee of Relmada Therapeutics. Dr. De Martin is employed or has received fees from Relmada Therapeutics. Drs. Inturrisi and Manfredi are inventors on a patent application from Relmada. Drs. Inturrisi, Traversa and Manfredi are inventors on other pending applications. We are grateful for the contributions of Dr. Reginald C'Reggie/Park. We were deeply saddened by his unexpected death in September 2020.



Corresponding Author: Paolo L. Manfredi, M.D.
Email: pmanfredi@relmada.com

American College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ACNP)
60th Annual Meeting, December 8-9, 2021